



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,431	11/04/2003	Sanjeev Gupta		5648

60033 7590 01/28/2010  
MARK TERRY, ESQ.  
801 BRICKELL AVE., SUITE 900  
Miami, FL 33131

EXAMINER
----------

VO, TED T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2191

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

01/28/2010

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mark@terryfirm.com

<b>Response to Rule 312 Communication</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/700,431	GUPTA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	TED T. VO	2191

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 16 December 2009 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

a) ☐ entered.

b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.

c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.

d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below.

e) ☒ entered in part. See explanation below.

Claim 4 in the amendment appears being a typo error. Claim 4 depends on claim 1 as in the manner in the Examiner Amendment mailed on 12/14/2009. Claim 4 will be amended to correct the Applicant's type error.

Please enter the claims filed on the 12/16/2009 and further replace claim 4 as follows:

4. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the task prioritization for a task is further calculated based on relative buffer priority.

/Ted T. Vo/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2191